

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/736,625	12/17/2003	Remy Synnott	2993-497US RM/JR/as	4066 .
32292 7	590 06/06/2005		EXAMINER	
OGILVY RENAULT LLP (PWC)			WHITE, DWAYNE J	
1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE SUITE 1600 MONTREAL, QC H3A 2Y3 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	•
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/736,625	SYNNOTT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dwayne J. White	3745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	av 2005.						
· <u></u>	, ————————————————————————————————————						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 May 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 	, ,	-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/736,625

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments dated (insert date) have been fully considered but have deemed non-persuasive. Claims 1-12 are pending.

Applicant generally states that the assemblies of Ito (4,946,346) and Beeck et al. (6,565,317) are less efficient or effective than the instant invention. While this may be true, Applicant's amended claims still do not recite a structure that is patentable distinct from the prior art devices. Particularly, Applicant has amended the claims to recited that the vane assemblies directly receive cooling are from the cooling air source. Both vane assemblies of Ito and Beeck et al. directly receive cooling air from the cool air source which is channeled through the cooling holes both upstream and down stream of the on the platforms.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Ito (4,946,346). Ito discloses a vane assembly for a gas turbine engine comprising a plurality of airfoils 21 radially extending between inner and outer platforms 23 and 22 defining an annular gas path therebetween, wherein a plurality of holes 36 are defined in at least one of the inner and outer platforms in a region substantially intermediate adjacent airfoils and on either side of the airfoils. The holes provide fluid flow communication between the cooling air source and the gas path and

Application/Control Number: 10/736,625

Art Unit: 3745

directing cooling airflow such that effusion cooling of the vane assembly is provided. The cooling holes are disposed in the longitudinal fluid flow direction between the leading and trailing edges of the airfoils and a distributed asymmetrically (See Figure 2). Further the holes are concentrated in a manner corresponding to regions of highest gas flow temperatures (Column 4, lines 57-65) and are inclined downstream (Column 4, line 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beeck et al (6,565,317). Beeck et al. disclose a cooled blade assembly for a gas turbine engine comprising an airfoils 4 radially having a platform 3, wherein a plurality of holes 12 are defined in the platform in a region substantially intermediate adjacent airfoils and on either side of the airfoil. The holes provide fluid flow communication between the cooling air source and the gas path and directing cooling airflow such that effusion cooling of the blade assembly is provided. The cooling holes are disposed in the longitudinal fluid flow direction between the leading and trailing edge (See Figure 1). Beeck et al. further disclose distribution of the cooling holes where they are most needed (Column 3, lines 59-62). It is the position of the Examiner that the cooling holes of Beeck et al. could be distributed substantially evenly if, as stated in the disclosure, it would achieve the desired cooling effect. While Beeck et al. does not specifically state that the

assembly is used in a vane assembly having inner and outer platforms; it does state that the cooling scheme can be used wherever "plate like" projecting components are exposed to high thermal loads. Therefore it is the position of the Examiner that invention of Beeck et al. can be applied to both an inner and outer platform as claimed by Applicant.

CONCLUSION

Contact Information

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne J. White whose telephone number is (571) 272-4825. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am to 5 pm T-F and alternate Mondays.

Art Unit: 3745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dwayne White Patent Examiner Art Unit 3745

DJW

EDWARD K. LOOK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

5/31/05